

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,239	11/19/2003	Kirk Herbert Raney	TH1843 03 (US)	6923	
23632 75	590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER		
SHELL OIL COMPANY			STINSON, FRANKIE L		
P O BOX 2463 HOUSTON. T	X 772522463		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1746	·	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)							
		10/717,23	9	RANEY ET AL.							
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit							
		FRANKIE I	L. STINSON	1746							
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).											
Status											
2a)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2006. a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims											
 4) Claim(s) 53-70 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 53-70 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 											
Application	on Papers										
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 											
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119											
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 											
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date <u>various</u> .	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	O-152)						

Application/Control Number: 10/717,239 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

1. In view of the cancellation of claim 1-522, the Restriction Requirement of may 2, 2006 is hereby vacated.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 53-64, 66, 67 and 70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by either Jones (U. S. Pat. No. 6,195,825) or Back et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,269,667).

Re claim 53, for example, note the permeate (as at 34 in Jones and as at 15 in Back) and retentate (as at 44 in Jones and as at 16 in Back). Re claims 54, 58 and 68 see col. 3, line 44 thru col. 4, line 21 in Back and see col. 3, line 64 thru col. 4, line33 in Jones. Re claim 59and 61, note that Jones discloses 50% as at col. 6, line18. Re claim 55 Jones and Back disclose the filter.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 65 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones or Back et al..

Application/Control Number: 10/717,239

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 65 and 69 define over Jones and Back only in the recitation of the specific number of nine loads laundry. Nonetheless, Jones and Back disclose that the several subsequent loads me be washed. To employ nine, is deemed to be an obvious extension of the teaching of Back and Jones.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Hein, Smith, Baubin et al., Hetrick, Jr., Mertz, Centis, Carroll, Jr., Kaplan, Yamakawa et al., Seibt and Krieger, note the water reusing means/methods.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 1746

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1746